

Graves School District

Jerry Tollefson, Principal

March 29, 2002

The Honorable Robert O'Farrell
Presiding Judge of the Superior Court
Monterey County
P.O. Box 1819
Salinas, CA 93902

Dear Judge O'Farrell:

Attached are the responses of the Graves School District Board of Trustees, as required by Sections 933 and 933.05 of the California Penal Code to the Findings and Recommendations in the 2001 Monterey County Grand Jury Final Report dated January 2, 2002.

These responses were approved by the Board of Trustees of the Graves School District, our governing body on February 19, 2002.

Sincerely,



Jerry Tollefson, Principal

Attachments: Response to Findings
Response to Recommendations

GRAND JURY FINAL REPORT TITLED SCHOOL BOARD TRAINING
 RESPONSE TO FINDINGS by GRAVES SCHOOL DISTRICT
 [as required by Section 933.05(a) California Penal Code] (Agency)

Finding #	Check One §933.05(a) (1) (2)	Specify the portion of the Finding that is disputed and include an explanation of the reasons therefor.
From Grand Jury Final Report	The respondent agrees with the Findings The respondent disagrees wholly or partially with Finding: see next column	CONTINUE ON ATTACHED SHEETS AS NECESSARY
1.	yes	DONT KNOW IF "ALL SCHOOL BOARDS" TAKE ADVANTAGE OF TRAINING - GRAVES DOES ...
2.	yes	

GRAND JURY FINAL REPORT TITLED SCHOOL BOARD TRAINING
 RESPONSE TO RECOMMENDATIONS by GRAVES School District
 [as required by Section 933.05(b) California Penal Code] (Agency)

Rec. #	Check One §933.05(b)				Time frame, explanation, summary (attached), etc.
	(1) Has been implemented, include summary of action	(2) Will be implemented, include time frame	(3) Requires further analysis, include time frame	(4) Will not be implemented, include explanation	
From Grand Jury Final Report					CONTINUE ON ATTACHED SHEETS AS NECESSARY
1.	yes				ONGOING FOR PAST 5 YEARS.
2A.	yes				ONGOING - BOARD POLICIES, COUNTY COUNSEL
B.	yes				ED CODE REFERENCES.
C.	yes				MONTHLY w/ SUPERINTENDENT - SSDA CONFERENCES
D.	yes				CONTINUED IN SERVICE - DISTRICT & MCOE.
E.			yes		WILL BE DISCUSSED AT MAY BOARD MEETING.
F.	yes				SCHOOL SERVICES
3.	yes				ATTENDANCE AT MCOE TRAININGS.
4.	yes				WILL WORK WITH CSBA AND ATTEND WORKSHOPS.
*					INVESTIGATIONS: FOR THE GRAND JURY'S INFORMATION. ALL SCHOOL DISTRICTS <u>ARE NOT</u> GOVERNED BY FIVE OR SEVEN ELECTED BOARDS - GRAVES HAS THREE BOARD MEMBERS.

QUESTIONNAIRE FOR SCHOOL BOARD PRESIDENTS
WITHIN MONTEREY COUNTY

SCHOOL DISTRICT NAME: GRAVES

1. Has your School Board received any formal training in the last two years?

Yes ☒ No ☐ If yes, please answer # 2 below.

2. Please list the training indicating whether it was an individual or group training, the subject, where the training took place, who did the training, and the approximate cost.

<u>Individual/ Group</u>	<u>Subject</u>	<u>Where</u>	<u>When</u>	<u>By Whom</u>	<u>Approx. Cost</u>
<u>GROUP</u>	<u>BUDGET</u>	<u>DISTRICT</u>	<u>6/2001</u>	<u>SUPERINTENDENT</u>	<u>SALARY</u>
<u>GROUP</u>	<u>BOARD POLICIES</u>	<u>DISTRICT</u>	<u>1/2002</u> <u>9/2001</u> <u>12/2001</u>	<u>CONSULTANT</u>	<u>\$1,500.00</u>

(If additional space needed, please attach separate page.)

3. Are there additional training areas or subjects you would like to see your Board receive?
If so, please list.

GRANTS
TECHNOLOGY

4. Do you feel it is important for Board Members, particularly new Board Members, to receive specialized training? If so, list in order of importance the three or four most important areas:

1. BUDGET
2. BOARD POLICIES
3. PERSONNEL

5. Is budget provided for training of Board Members?

Yes ☒ No ☐ If yes, how much is budgeted for 2001? \$1,000.00

Signature:

[Signature]

Typed name:

GERALD LANINI